

# Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

Don W. Martens\*  
 Gordon H. Olson\*  
 James B. Bear  
 Darrell L. Olson\*  
 William B. Bunker  
 William H. Neiman  
 Arthur S. Rose  
 James F. Leonak  
 Ned A. Israelson  
 Drew S. Hamilton  
 Jerry T. Sewell  
 John B. Sganga, Jr.  
 Edward A. Schalter  
 Gerard von Hoffmann  
 Joseph R. Re  
 Catherine J. Holland  
 John M. Carson  
 Karen Vogel Weil  
 Andrew H. Simpson  
 Jeffrey L. Van Hoosier  
 Daniel E. Altman  
 Marguerite L. Gunn  
 Stephen C. Jensen  
 Vito A. Canuso III  
 William H. Shreve  
 Lynda J. Zadra-Symest  
 Steven J. Nataupsky  
 Paul A. Stewart  
 Joseph F. Jennings  
 Craig S. Summers  
 AnnaMarie Kalaer  
 Brenton R. Babcock  
 Thomas F. Smegal, Jr.  
 Michael H. Trenholm  
 Diane M. Reed  
 Ronald J. Schoenbaum  
 John R. King  
 Frederick S. Berrette  
 Nancy W. Vencko  
 John P. Giezentanner  
 Adeel S. Akhtar  
 Thomas R. Arno  
 David N. Weiss  
 Daniel Hart, Ph.D.  
 Douglas G. Muchlhauser  
 Lori Lee Yamato  
 Michael K. Friedland  
 Dale C. Hunt, Ph.D.  
 Richard E. Campbell

Paul D. Tripodi II  
 Stacey R. Halpern  
 Lee W. Henderson, Ph.D.  
 Mark M. Abumeri  
 Jon W. Gurke  
 John W. Holcomb  
 Joseph M. Raisman, Ph.D.  
 Michael L. Fuller  
 Eric M. Nelson  
 Mark R. Benedict, Ph.D.  
 Paul N. Conover  
 Robert J. Röby  
 Sabing H. Lee  
 Karoline A. Dolaney  
 Joseph S. Cianfrani  
 William R. Zimmerman  
 Paul C. Steinhardt  
 Deborah S. Shepherd  
 James J. Mullen III, Ph.D.  
 Glen L. Nutall  
 Eric S. Furman, Ph.D.  
 Tirzah Abé Lowe  
 Sanjivpal S. Gill  
 Susan M. Natland  
 James W. Hill, M.D.  
 Rose M. Thieszen, Ph.D.  
 Michael A. Guillana  
 Mark J. Kertz  
 Rabinder N. Narula  
 Bruce S. Ilchawitz, Ph.D.  
 Peter M. Midgley  
 Michael R. Komamoto  
 John M. Grover  
 Mallary K. De Merlier  
 Irfan A. Lateef  
 Shanon S. Ng  
 Mark J. Galtagher, Ph.D.  
 David G. Jankowski, Ph.D.  
 Brigitte C. Horne  
 Payson J. LeMeilleur  
 Sheila N. Swaroop  
 Ben A. Katzenellenbogen  
 Linda H. Liu  
 Andrew N. Mertzel, Ph.D.  
 David L. Haas  
 James F. Herkenhoff  
 Scott Loras Murray  
 Andrew M. Douglas  
 Marc T. Morley

Salima A. Merani, Ph.D.

Sam K. Tehmassobi, Ph.D.

Christy L. Green

Jonathan A. Hyman

Curdie C. Dooley

Richard A. DeCristofaro

Joseph J. Mallon, Ph.D.

Thomas P. Kaczynski

Jeffrey A. Birchak

Sean M. Murray

Elenore Niw

Valerie L. Brecken

J. David Evered††

Johnfar F. Kerlee

Jeremy P. Sanders

Perry D. Oldham

Jerry L. Hefner, Ph.D.

Russell M. Jeide

Abraham W. Chuang

Ryan N. Farr

Pui Tong Ho

Erik T. Anderson

John L. Paik

Eric K. Morton

Jesse A. Rothwell

Marc C. Baumgartner

Ray B. Hom

Danielle Klausnor

Kyle F. Schlueter

Raphael A. Gutierrez

Demian K. Jackson

Nathan A. Engels

Gregory A. Hermanson

Raymond Chan

Zi Y. Wong

John N. Kandara

Matthew S. Bellinger

David K. Wiggins

Darryl H. Steensma, Ph.D.

Lauren Keller

Melissa J. Altman

Ted M. Cannon

Carol M. Pilzel

Josué A. Villalba

Sheila R. Gibson

Andrew I. Kimmel

Miika Fukuda

Of Counsel  
 Louis J. Knobbe\*  
 Jerry R. Seiter  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Japanese Patent Atty  
 Katsuhiro Arai  
 Tomonobu Sugiyama  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Korean Patent Atty  
 Mincheol Kim  
 Heungsoo Choi

Scientists & Engineers  
(Non-Lawyers)

Reimond J. Salenoks\*\*  
 Khurram Rahman, Ph.D.  
 Jennifer Haynes, Ph.D.\*\*  
 Tammy V. Nagata  
 Cha S. Choreskin, Ph.D.\*\*  
 James W. Asley\*\*  
 Jennifer Hayes\*\*  
 Kirk E. Pastorini, Ph.D.\*\*  
 Charles T. Ridgely  
 Connie C. Tong, Ph.D.\*\*  
 Suzanne Jepson, Ph.D.\*\*  
 Nira M. Brand\*\*  
 Jeffrey A. Hopkins\*\*  
 Tiffany C. Miller\*\*  
 James W. Chang, Ph.D.\*\*  
 Marina L. Gorday, Ph.D.\*\*  
 W. Frank Dauerer  
 Lang J. McHardy\*\*  
 Karen J. Lenker  
 Chris Westberg, Ph.D.  
 Eric B. Ives, Ph.D.\*\*  
 David C. Weber\*\*

\*A Professional Corporation  
 † Also Barrister At Law (Eng. & Welsh)  
 \*\*U.S. Patent Agent  
 †† Also Solicitor (Eng. & Welsh)

## CONFIRMATION COPY WILL FOLLOW VIA:

MAIL  
 INTERNATIONAL AIRMAIL  
 COURIER  
 E-MAIL  
 WILL NOT FOLLOW  
 HAND DELIVERY  
 WITH ENCLOSURES  
 WITHOUT ENCLOSURES

## Facsimile Transmittal Sheet

## Confidentiality Notice:

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited.

TO: Examiner Jennifer Thissell  
 FIRM: USPTO Art Unit 3635  
 FACSIMILE NO.: 703 746 3684  
 FROM: Lang McHardy  
 DATE: May 30, 2003

OUR REF.: GSMLTH.002A

YOUR REF.: Ser. No. 09/692,655

OPERATOR: ljm

NO. OF PAGES: 6 (incl. cover sheet)

TIME:

**IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY**  
 OPERATOR PHONE NO.: (805) 547-5580      FACSIMILE NO.: (805) 547-5590

MESSAGE: This is an informal communication for the purposes of discussion during a telephonic interview scheduled for Monday, June 2, 2003.

660 West C Street  
 Suite 1200  
 San Diego CA 92101  
 Tel 619-235-6550  
 Fax 619-235-0776

201 California Street  
 Suite 1150  
 San Francisco CA 94111  
 Tel 415-954-4114  
 Fax 415-954-4111

1900 Avenue of the Stars  
 Suite 1425  
 Los Angeles CA 90067  
 Tel 310-551-3450  
 Fax 310-551-3458

3403 Tenth Street  
 Suite 700  
 Riverside CA 92501  
 Tel 909-781-8231  
 Fax 909-781-4507

1114 Marsh Street  
 San Luis Obispo CA 93401  
 Tel 805-547-5510  
 Fax 805-547-5590

GSMITH.002A

PATENT

Applicant	Smith, G.
Appl. No.	09/692,655
Filed	October 19, 2000
For	ROOF TILE SUPPORT
Examiner	Thissell, J.

Group Art Unit 3635

UNOFFICIAL PROPOSED OUTLINE AND AMENDMENT FOR DISCUSSION DURING  
TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW SCHEDULED FOR MONDAY JUNE 2, 2003 AT 12:00 PM

INTERVIEW OUTLINE:

I. Rejections:

A. The majority of the claims have been rejected as being obvious for one or more reasons.

1. Claims reciting specific dimensions have been rejected as being optimum ranges determinable by the skilled artisan.
2. Claims reciting the placement of multiple roof tiles on a single support element were rejected as being implicitly disclosed by the prior art.
3. Method claims reciting independent placement support elements and roof tiles were rejected with the assertion that "constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art."

II. Applicant's Response:

A. Applicant's support elements are adapted to be used with any of a wide variety of roofing tiles and materials.

1. Prior art teaches support/tile combinations in which supports are specifically designed to be used with a single type of tile.
2. The dimensions of Applicant's tiles are optimized for versatility of use.

*"adapted to" in 1st several claims*

*Kelly - can't have any roofing type placed on it*

B. Applicant submits that the limitation of placing multiple roof tiles in a single course on a single support element is not met by the prior art without a reference explicitly showing such a teaching. Applicant further submits that Kelly teaches away from the claimed invention.

C. Applicant submits that the claimed support element is not merely a formerly integral structure provided in various elements.

D. Applicant's support elements can be easily modified, adjusted, re-shaped, re-sized, etc in the field in order to accommodate variations and irregularities in roof shapes (chimneys, skylights, etc).

E. Applicant's lightweight, inexpensive and versatile roof tile support element provides a solution to a long-standing problem within the roofing industry.

*Many of the Integral ability to adjust - light weight*

Appl. No : 09/692,655  
 Filed : October 19, 2000

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS:

Fifield

1. An apparatus adapted for use with roof tiles and a roofing surface, the apparatus comprising a support element configured to occupy a space between roof tiles and a roofing surface thereby providing support for at least central portions of the roof tiles, wherein the support element has a length of about four feet, a width of between about seven and a half and eleven inches, and a height of between about one inch and about two inches, such that the support element is sized and adapted to support at least three roof tiles of any shape or size in a single course, and wherein the support element is non-integral with the tile or the roofing surface.

2. The apparatus of Claim 1, wherein said support element is configured in the shape of a wedge.

3. (WITHDRAWN) The apparatus of Claim 2, wherein said support element has a triangular cross-section.

4. The apparatus of Claim 2, wherein said support element has a quadrilateral cross-section.

5. The apparatus of Claim 1, wherein said support element is made of expanded polystyrene.

6. The apparatus of Claim 1, wherein said support element includes at least one groove formed in its bottom surface.

7. (WITHDRAWN) The apparatus of Claim 2, further comprising arch sections.

8. A roof tile support system, comprising:

a roofing surface;  
a plurality of roof tiles, and

McCorsley teaches roof tiles to be placed on support elements over roofing surface

a plurality of independent support elements positioned between and in contact with both of said roofing surface and said roof tiles, wherein said support elements support said roof tiles so as to increase the load capacities of said roof tiles, and wherein each support element is configured to support at least three roof tiles of any shape in a single course.

9. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support element is made of a lightweight material.

Fifield  
 - the element apparatus is the entire thing  
 - tiles can be placed on top  
 Col. 4 further  
 layers can be provided

Kelly  
 in view McCorsley  
 in view Fifield

Concrete  
 tiles  
 remain

Appl. No : 09/692,655  
Filed : October 19, 2000

10. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roofing surface comprises a roof deck with battens.
11. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are made of lightweight concrete.
12. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements are separate pieces from said roof tiles and said roofing surface.
13. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein each of said support elements supports four or more roof tiles in a single course.
14. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements have a large surface area for contacting a substantial portion of the area under said roof tiles.
15. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements are wedge-shaped.
16. (WITHDRAWN) The roof tile support system of Claim 15, wherein said support elements have arch sections, and said roof tiles are barrel roof tiles.
17. (WITHDRAWN) The roof tile support system of Claim 15, wherein said support elements have a triangular cross-section.
18. The roof tile support system of Claim 15, wherein said support elements have a quadrilateral cross-section.
19. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements are made of expanded polystyrene.
20. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are arranged in rows and a first row is supported by said support elements such that the roof tiles of the first row are elevated some distance above a second adjacent row of said roof tiles.
21. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are supported by said support elements such that the weight of said tiles, or a concentrated load on said tiles, will be distributed over said support elements and said roofing surface.
22. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are arranged in rows and a first row is supported by said support elements such that the weight of said tiles, or a concentrated load on said tiles, will be distributed over said support elements, said roofing surface and a second row of roof tiles.

Appl. No. : 09/692,655  
 Filed : October 19, 2000

*Patent*

23. A method of installing roof tile supports, comprising:  
 first, placing a support element on a roofing surface;  
 then, placing a first roof tile on said support element such that at least a central portion of an underside of said roof tile is substantially supported by the support element; and  
 finally, securing said roof tile to said roofing surface.

24. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein a second roof tile is placed directly on at least a portion of the support element adjacent the first roof tile.

25. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 24, wherein a third tile is placed directly on the support element adjacent the second tile.

26. (INDICATED ALLOWABLE) The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein said first roof tile is placed on said support element such that said first roof tile does not contact a roof tile in an adjacent lower course.

27. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein said first roof tile is placed in contact with both said roofing surface and said support element.

28. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, further including a second roof tile, wherein said first roof tile is placed in contact with said roofing surface, said support element, and said second roof tile.

29. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein securing said first roof tile to said roofing surface comprises driving a nail through said first roof tile into said roofing surface.

30. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 29, wherein said nail also passes through a portion of said support element.

31. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, further including a second support element, wherein said second support element is positioned to the side of said first support element so as to leave a gap between the two elements.

32. The support element of Claim 1, wherein the body comprises a width of about seven and a half inches, a front surface height of about one and an eighth inches, and a rear surface height of about three eighths of an inch.

*I. R. Nyckyj*

*Attfield*

Appl. No : 09/692,655  
Filed : October 19, 2000

33. The support element of Claim 1, wherein the body has a width of about eleven inches, and a front surface height of about one and an eighth inches.

34. The support element of Claim 1, wherein the body has a width of about eleven inches, and a front surface height of about one and seven eighths inches.

35. (AMENDED) A support element for use in a roofing system, the support element comprising:

a substantially solid, lightweight body having at least a top surface, a bottom surface, a front surface, a rear surface, and a pair of side surfaces;

said top surface having a width dimension selected to allow a roof tile placed on said top surface to extend beyond said front and rear surfaces;

wherein the top and bottom surfaces are substantially planar and non-parallel to one another;

wherein the body has a length sufficient to support a plurality of roof tiles of a single course.

36. The support element of Claim 35, wherein the ~~body comprises~~ a rear surface ~~having~~ has a height less than a height of the front surface, and wherein ~~a~~ the height of the rear surface is about an inch or less.

O:\DOCS\JMJ\JMJ-2265.DOC  
052903